

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/766,283	01/27/2004	Bal Ram Singh	08387-002003	08387-002003 3757	
26161 FISH & RICHA	7590 06/12/2007 ARDSON PC	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 1022			KAM, CHIH MIN		
MINNEAPOLI	S, MN 55440-1022		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
			1656		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
•			06/12/2007	DADED	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/766,283	SINGH ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Chih-Min Kam	1656				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 18(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	I. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status	•					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>22 Fe</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro					
Disposition of Claims						
4)	30 is/are withdrawn from conside	ration.				
Application Papers						
 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on 27 January 2004 is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 	a) \square accepted or b) \square objected drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is object.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). sected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate				

DETAILED ACTION

Status of the Claims

1. Claims 1, 7, 17-26, 30 and 33-37 are pending.

Applicants' amendment filed February 22, 2007 is acknowledged. Applicants' response has been fully considered. Claim 22 has been amended, and new claims 33-37 have been added. Claims 1, 7, 17-21, 25, 26 and 30 are non-elected inventions and are withdrawn from consideration. Therefore, claims 22-24 and 33-37 are examined.

Withdrawn Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. The previous rejection of claims 22-24 under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, written description, is withdrawn in view of applicant's amendment to the claims, and applicants' response at page 6 in the amendment filed February 22, 2007.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

- 3. Previous rejection of claims 22-24 under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention, is maintained, and new claims 33-37 have been added.
- 4. Claims 22-24 and 33-37 are indefinite as to what result a therapeutically effective amount of the polypeptide complex would produce in the treatment, and what diseases are treated in the claimed method. Claims 23-24 and 33-37 are included in this rejection for being dependent on a rejected claim and not correcting the deficiency of the claim from which they depend.

Response to Arguments

Applicants indicates since the specification states that "The dosage is adjusted, either in quantity or frequency, to achieve sufficient reduction in acetylcholine release to afford relief from the symptoms of the disease or condition being treated." at page 13, lines 13 to 15, skilled practitioners would appreciate that the result of treatment would be a reduction in the symptoms of the disease being treated. Furthermore, the claims have been amended to "exaggerated release of acetylcholine", and the specification discloses "An exaggerated release of acetylcholine would be any level of release that exceeds the normal parameters and causes aberrant physiological function. The diseases or conditions associated with an exaggerated release of acetylcholine can involve spasms of either smooth or skeletal muscle cells. More specifically, these diseases or conditions include spasmodic torticollis, essential tremor, spasmodic dysphonia, charley horse, strabismus, blepharospasm, oromandibular dystonia, spasms of the sphincters of the cardiovascular, gastrointestinal, or urinary systems, and tardive dyskinesia, which may result from treatment with an anti-psychotic drug such as THORAZINE® or HALDOL® at page 12, lines 6-17." Thus, the metes and bounds of the claims are completely clear, and the rejection should be withdrawn (pages 7-8 of the response).

Applicants' response has been fully considered. Regarding the term "excessive release of acetylcholine", since independent claim (i.e., claim 22) has been amended to recite "exaggerated release of acetylcholine", which has been described in the specification, thus the definition of the term is clear. However, regarding the outcome of the treatment, although the specification indicates the dosage is adjusted to sufficiently reduce acetylcholine release to afford relief from the symptoms of the disease or condition being treated, this limitation is not cited in the claimed

methods, thus it is not clear what outcome an effective amount of the composition would bring. Regarding the diseases associated with excessive release of acetylcholine, while the specification indicates the disease can involve spasms of either smooth or skeletal muscle cells, and <u>include</u> a list of diseases cited at page 12, lines 6-17, the limitation is not cited in the claim, thus it is not clear what are the metes and bounds for the diseases being treated. Therefore, the rejection is maintained.

5. Claims 23-24 recite the limitation "the excessive acetylcholine release" in line 1. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Conclusion

6. No claims are allowed.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Chih-Min Kam whose telephone number is (571) 272-0948. The examiner can normally be reached on 8.00-4:30, Mon-Fri.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kathleen Bragdon can be reached at 571-272-0931. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Chip

Chih-Min Kam, Ph. D.

Primary Patent Examiner

CHIH-MIN KAM
PRIMARY EXAMINER

CMK

May 31, 2006